



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/647,726	08/25/2003	Karren Moreland	43	2147

26362 7590 12/08/2008  
LOUIS J. HOFFMAN, P.C.  
11811 North Tatum Boulevard, Suite 2100  
Phoenix, AZ 85028

EXAMINER
----------

FERGUSON, MICHAEL P

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3679

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

12/08/2008

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

duff@patentit.com  
LJH@patentit.com  
shaelyn@patentit.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/647,726	MORELAND ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	MICHAEL P. FERGUSON	3679	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MICHAEL P. FERGUSON. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) David Alvi. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 01 December 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 20.

Identification of prior art discussed: Zakrzewski et al. (US 6,732,991) and Frohlich (US 6,086,300).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The structural differences between the claimed invention and the prior art of Zakrzewski et al. and Frohlich were discussed in regards to each of the plurality of locks retaining respective points along the length of wire of the light string longitudinally within the track. Further search and/or consideration is required.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Michael P. Ferguson/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3679